

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/713,834	11/14/2003	Junichi Ogikubo	450100-04810	2578	
FROMMER I.	7590 07/16/200 AWRENCE & HAUG	EXAM	EXAMINER		
745 FIFTH AV	VENUE	TEKLE, DANIEL T			
NEW YORK,	NY 10151	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		2621			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/16/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/713,834	OGIKUBO, JUNICHI	
Examiner	Art Unit	
DANIEL TEKLE	2621	
Dittier letter		

	DANIEL TEKLE	2621					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 07 July 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.					
 X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance, (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	vhich places the r (3) a Request				
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin 	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07	MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL.	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as				
	Jianaa with 27 CEB 44 27 must be 4	Clad within two worth	a of the date of				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	s or the date or e appeal. Since				
AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belc (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	TE below);					
appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially rec	lucing or simplifying ti	ne issues ioi				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		cted claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro 		be entered and an e	xplanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 1, 5, 9, 11 and 26-29.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce hecause:				
	a doco reo i piase alle application in	condition to allowan	oo boodago.				
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). 13. ☐ Other: 	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
/Marsha D. Banks-Harold/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2621							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new added limitation to claims 1, 28 and 29 raises issues that would require further consideration and search.